

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/820,691	Applicant(s) ABRAHAM ET AL.	
	Examiner James E. Goodley	Art Unit 2817	

All Participants:

(1) James E. Goodley.

(2) Eric Ho.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2006

Time: 10 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Lo et al. (US 6,046,646)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed introduction of further limitations to claim 15, which are similar to that of claim 1 in order to make the application allowable.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)